

The Examiner cannot justify an inherency rejection unless there is no other possible way that the structure operates. M.P.E.P. § 2112. There is another way that the prior art may operate. It may perform relatively poorly without the effect of spring bias. Therefore, the Examiner cannot rely on inherency.

Moreover, the suggestion that Figure 9 shows a spring biased catch is without any basis whatsoever. There is nothing whatsoever in connection with Figure 9 or its description which in any way describes, suggests, or implies that the catch is spring biased.

Therefore, the rejection is without foundation and should be reconsidered.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Date: November 21, 2002